**REMARKS** 

In the Office Action mailed on November 30, 2004, the Examiner allowed claims 1-5, 7, 8, and

16-18, and rejected claims 10-15. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the allowed claims.

Claims 10 and 11 are amended herein. Applicant respectfully requests issuance of the

application.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112¶2

In paragraph 1 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 10-15 under 35 U.S.C. § 112

second paragraph, noting that the terms "function cell" and "plurality of function cells" are

confused in independent claim 10. Because Applicant has amended claim 10, the rejection is

now moot.

**CONCLUSION** 

Applicants believe that the rejections in the Office Action of November 30, 2004 are

fully overcome and that the application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any

questions regarding the case, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned

representative at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Charle' R. Rupp

Date: 16, 2005

Eric Worthington, Reg. No. 50,910

Carr & Ferrell LLP

2200 Geng Road

Palo Alto, CA 94303

Phone: (650) 812-3495

Fax: (650) 812-3444